

## More on Lagrangian relaxation

(Wolsey : Chapter 10)

Consider an integer optimization problem of form :

$$(P) \quad z = \max c x$$

$$D x \leq d$$

$$x \in X$$

"complicating constraints"

↪ include the integrality constraints

Let  $D$   $m \times n$  matrix

$$d \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

$$x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

For any  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_m) \geq 0$ , consider the Lagrangian relaxation:

$$(P_u) \quad z(u) = \max c x + u (d - D x)$$

$$x \in X$$

$u$  : price or dual variables or  
Lagrangian multipliers associated  
 with  $Dx \leq d$

Proposition :  $(P_u)$  is a relaxation of  $(P)$   
 for all  $u \geq 0$ .

Proof :

(i)  $\{x : Dx \leq d, x \in X\} \subseteq X$

the feasible region of  $(P_u)$  is at  
 least as large

(ii)  $cx + \underbrace{u(d - Dx)}_{\geq 0} \geq cx \quad \forall x \in X$

$\forall x \in X$  the objective value  $z_i(P_u)$  is  
 at least as great as  $z_i(P)$

□

Therefore :  $z(u) \geq z \quad \forall u \geq 0$

(maximization problem)

To find the best ( $\equiv$  smallest) upper bound  $z(u)$  for  $u \geq 0$ , we solve the lagrangian Dual Problem:

$$(LD) \quad w_{LD} = \min \{ z(u) : u \geq 0 \}$$

Solving a lagrangian relaxation may sometimes lead to solve (P):

let  $u \geq 0$ :

Proposition: If:

(i)  $x(u)$  is an optimal solution to  $(P_u)$

(ii)  $Dx(u) \leq d$

(iii) if  $u_i > 0$  then  $(Dx(u))_i = d_i$   
(complementarity)

then  $x(u)$  is optimal for (P).

Proof:

From (i):

$$w_{LD} \leq z(u) = c x(u) + u (d - Dx(u))$$

From (iii):

$$c x(u) + \underbrace{u(d - D x(u))}_0 = c x(u)$$

From (ii):

$$c x(u) \leq z \quad \text{since } x(u) \text{ is feasible for } (P)$$

It follows that:

$$w_{LD} \leq c x(u) \leq z$$

Since  $w_{LD} \geq z$  (it provides an upper bound)

$$\text{we get } w_{LD} = c x(u) = z.$$

So,  $x(u)$  is optimal for  $(P)$   $\square$

Obs 1:  $\rightarrow$  in this case  $u$  is optimal for  $(LD)$

Obs 2: if the constraints "dualized" (i.e.  $Dx \leq d$ ) are equality constraints (i.e.  $Dx = d$ ) then (iii) is always satisfied.

In this case, an optimal solution  $x(u)$  to  $(P_u)$  is optimal for  $(P)$  if it is feasible for  $(P)$ .

example (UFL)

consider the strong formulation (UFL<sub>2</sub>)

max im Wert

$z = \min \sum_{i \in M} \sum_{j \in N} c_{ij} x_{ij} + \sum_{j \in N} f_j y_j$

minimale Wert

dualize  $\rightarrow \sum_{j \in N} x_{ij} = 1 \quad \forall i \in M \quad (\mu_i \geq 0)$

(P)

$x_{ij} - y_j \leq 0 \quad \forall i \in M, j \in N$   
 $x_{ij} \geq 0 \quad \forall i \in M, j \in N$   
 $y_j \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall j \in N$

(P<sub>u</sub>)

$z(u) = \min \sum_{i \in M} \sum_{j \in N} (c_{ij} - \mu_i) x_{ij} + \sum_{j \in N} f_j \cdot y_j + \sum_{i \in M} \mu_i$

$x_{ij} \leq y_j \quad \forall i \in M, j \in N$   
 $x_{ij} \geq 0 \quad \forall i \in M, j \in N$   
 $y_j \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall j \in N$

$(P_u)$  decomposes into  $n$  subproblems, (38)  
one for location:

$$z(u) = \sum_{j \in N} z_j(u) + \sum_{i \in M} u_i$$

where

$$(P_u^j) \quad z_j(u) = \min \sum_{i \in M} (c_{ij} - u_i) x_{ij} + f_j \cdot y_j$$

$$x_{ij} \leq y_j \quad \forall i \in M$$

$$x_{ij} \geq 0 \quad \forall i \in M$$

$$y_j \in \{0, 1\}$$

$(P_u^j)$  can be solved by inspection:

1) if  $y_j = 0$  then  $x_{ij} = 0 \quad \forall i \in M$ , so

$$z_j^1(u) = 0$$

2) if  $y_j = 1$ :

$$x_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } c_{ij} - u_i < 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \forall i \in M$$

So

$$z_j^2(u) = \sum_{i \in M} \min\{c_{ij} - u_i, 0\} + f_j$$

Therefore :

(39)

$$z_j^1(u) \qquad z_j^2(u)$$
$$z_j(u) = \min \left\{ 0, \sum_{i \in M} \min \left\{ c_{ij} - u_i, 0 \right\} + f_j \right\} + f_j$$

$\swarrow$   $y_j = 0$                        $\swarrow$   $y_j = 1$                        $\forall j \in N$

< easily solvable ! >

example

$m = 6$

$n = 5$

$f = (2, 4, 5, 3, 3)$

$$(c_{ij}) = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 4 & 10 & 2 & 6 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 4 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 0 & 4 & 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 8 & 6 & 2 & 5 \\ 3 & 2 & 4 & 8 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

If we choose :

$$u = (5 \quad 6 \quad 3 \quad 2 \quad 5 \quad 4)$$

Modified costs:

location 2

40

$$(c_{ij} - u_i) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -3 & -4 & -2 & 0 \\ -2 & 4 & -4 & 0 & -5 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 & -1 & 2 \\ -4 & 3 & 1 & -3 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 & 0 & 4 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\sum_{i \in M} u_i = 25$$

for  $\delta = 2$  / if  $y_2 = 0$  then  $z_2^1(u) = 0$

if  $y_2 = 1$  then  $x_{12} = 1$   
 $x_{32} = 1$   
 $x_{42} = 1$   
 $x_{62} = 1$

$$z_2^2(u) = -3 - 1 - 2 - 2 + \underset{4}{\delta_2} = -4$$

So it is optimal to set

$$y_2 = 1 \text{ giving } z_2(u) = -4$$



We have to perform a similar calculation for each location to find  $z(u)$ :

$$z(u) = z_1(u) + z_2(u) + z_3(u) + z_4(u) + z_5(u) + \underbrace{\sum_{i \in N} u_i}_{25}$$

For other  $u$ ?

- How can we find the best Lagrangian bound?
- How good is such an upper bound, i.e.  $w_{LD}$ ?

# Strength of the Lagrangian Dual

42

$$\text{Let } (P) \quad z = \max c x$$

$$D x \leq d$$

$$x \in X$$

$$(P_u) \quad z(u) = \max c x + u(d - D x)$$

$$x \in X$$

$$u \geq 0$$

$$(LD) \quad w_{LD} = \min \{ z(u) : u \geq 0 \}$$

Suppose for simplicity that  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_T\}$ ,

i.e. finite set of points:

$$w_{LD} = \min_{u \geq 0} \left\{ \max_{x \in X} c x + u(d - D x) \right\}$$

$$= \min_{u \geq 0} \left\{ \max_{\ell=1, \dots, T} c x_\ell + u(d - D x_\ell) \right\}$$

$$= \min_{u \geq 0} \left\{ \max_{\ell=1, \dots, T} c x_\ell + u(d - D x_\ell) \right\}$$

maximum of T values

Let us introduce an auxiliary variable  $\eta$  to estimate the maximum

$$w_{LD} = \min \eta$$

$$(\lambda_t) \quad \eta \geq c x_t + u(d - D x_t) \quad t=1, \dots, T$$

$$u \geq 0 \quad (u \in \mathbb{R}^+)$$

The latter is a Linear Programming problem. By the previous assumption on  $X$  it has finite optimum value.

Therefore, from strong duality we can replace it by its dual:

$$w_{LD} = \max \sum_{t=1}^T \lambda_t (c x_t)$$

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \lambda_t (D x_t - d) \leq 0$$

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \lambda_t = 1$$

$$\lambda_t \geq 0 \quad t=1, \dots, T$$

Setting  $x = \sum_{t=1}^T \lambda_t x_t$  s.t.  $\sum_{t=1}^T \lambda_t = 1, \lambda_t \geq 0, t=1, \dots, T$

we get

(44)

$$w_{LD} = \max c \cdot \underbrace{\sum_{\ell=1}^T \lambda_{\ell} x_{\ell}}_x$$

$$D \cdot \underbrace{\sum_{\ell=1}^T \lambda_{\ell} x_{\ell}}_x - d \cdot \underbrace{\sum_{\ell=1}^T \lambda_{\ell}}_1 \leq 0$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} x \in \text{conv}(X) \\ \text{"} \\ x \in \text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_T) \end{array} \right\} \begin{cases} x = \sum_{\ell=1}^T \lambda_{\ell} x_{\ell} \\ \sum_{\ell=1}^T \lambda_{\ell} = 1 \\ \lambda_{\ell} \geq 0 \quad \ell = 1, \dots, T \end{cases}$$

that is

$$w_{LD} = \max c x$$

$$D x \leq d$$

$$x \in \text{conv}(X)$$

called  
"convexified  
relaxation"

So:

Theorem:  $w_{LD} = \max \{ c x : D x \leq d, x \in \text{conv}(X) \}$

More generally this holds true

for any  $X = \{ x \in \mathbb{Z}^n : A x \leq b \}$

This theorem gives information

(45)

on the strength of the Lagrangian dual.

In certain cases, it is no stronger than the LP bound:

Corollary 1: if  $X = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n : Ax \leq by\}$   
and  $\text{conv}(X) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Ax \leq by\}$ ,

then  $w_{LD} = \max \{cx : Dx \leq d, Ax \leq by\}$ ,  
which is the LP bound (LP relaxation)

Obs 1: since

$$(P_u) \quad z(u) = \max \{cx + u(d - Dx) \\ Ax \leq b \\ x \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$$

then if  $(P_u)$  satisfies the integrality property, i.e.  $\text{conv}(\{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n : Ax \leq by\}) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n :$

$Ax \leq by\}$ , then  $w_{LD}$  is equal to and

so <sup>no</sup> stronger than the LP bound.

Obs 2: the property is true also for minimization and mixed integer problems.

## example (UFL)

46

Recall that  $(P_u)$  has always an integer optimum solution; therefore  $w_{LD}$  corresponding to the considered Lagrangian relaxation is equal to the LP bound corresponding to  $(UFL_2)$ .

Corollary 2: If  $(P)$  is a Linear Programming problem, then  $w_{LD} = z$ .

The proof of the Theorem suggests how to compute  $w_{LD}$ , i.e. how to solve the Lagrangian Dual.

Corollary 3:  $w_{LD} \leq z_{LP}$ , where  $z_{LP}$  is the linear programming bound (for a maximization problem)

Proof:  $\text{conv}(X) \subseteq \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Ax \leq b\}$   
if  $X = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n : Ax \leq b\}$ .