Course on mathematical modelling: AMPL and CPLEX

teacher: Giacomo Lanza

Dipartimento di Informatica, Università di Pisa a.a. 2019-2020

A security officer has to install a new security system on the ground floor of a museum. He has to install a set of cameras so as to control a set of 11 strategic points of the floor. Security cameras may be installed at each of these points (in the map indexed from 1 to 11). Each camera can cover the entire visual plane (from the front to the back). For instance, if a camera is installed at point 5, it controls points 4, 5, 6 and 9. Considering that the costs of installing cameras are [5, 5, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 6, 6, 3, 3, 5], define the problem of installing a suitable number of cameras, to control all the strategic points of the ground floor of the museum at minimum cost, as an ILP model



Input data:

- I = set of strategic points (or demand nodes), indexed by i;
- J = set of candidate camera locations, indexed by j;
- $a_{i,j}$ coverage parameters, i = 1, ..., 11, j = 1, ..., 11;

$$a_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if camera at location } j \text{ covers strategic point } i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

•
$$c_j, j = 1, ... 11$$
 cost of installation of camera at location j

Variables:

$$x_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if camera at locatation } j \text{ is installed} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

j=1,..11

ILP model

$$\min \sum_{j=1,\dots,11} c_j \cdot x_j$$

Minimize the total cost of installing cameras

$$\sum_{j=1,..11} a_{i,j} \cdot x_j \ge 1 \qquad \forall \, i = 1,..11;$$

Each strategic point (i from 1 to 11) needs to be covered by a camera

$$x_j \in \{0, 1\}$$
 $\forall j = 1, ...11;$

Variables are binary

# model	param TotCameras = 11;
param TotPoints ;	param TotPoints = 11;
param TotCameras;	param InstallingCost:=
<pre>set Points := 1 TotPoints ;</pre>	15
<pre>set Cameras:= 1TotCameras;</pre>	25
param Coverage {Points , Cameras};	34
param InstallingCost {Cameras};	44
	5 4
var InstalCam{Cameras} binary;	64
	74
minimize Total_InstallingCost: sum {j in Cameras}	86
InstallingCost[j]* InstalCam[j];	96
	10 3
<pre>subject to CoveringConstr{i in Points }:</pre>	11 5;
<pre>sum {j in Cameras} Coverage[i,j] * InstalCam[j] >= 1;</pre>	

# model	param Coverage:
param TotPoints ;	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11:=
param TotCameras;	111110001000
<pre>set Points := 1 TotPoints ;</pre>	211100010010
<pre>set Cameras:= 1TotCameras;</pre>	31110000001
param Coverage {Points , Cameras};	410011001000
param InstallingCost {Cameras};	500011100100
	600001110100
var InstalCam{Cameras} binary;	701000110010
	810010001111
<pre>minimize Total_InstallingCost: sum {j in Cameras}</pre>	900001101111
InstallingCost[j]* InstalCam[j];	100100011111
	110010001111
<pre>subject to CoveringConstr{i in Points }:</pre>	;

```
sum {j in Cameras} Coverage[i,j] * InstalCam[j] >= 1;
```

# Console	# Console
ampl: reset;	ampl: display InstalCam;
ampl: model Museum.mod;	InstalCam [*] :=
ampl: data Museum.dat;	10
ampl: option solver cplex amp;	2 0
ampl: solve;	3 1
CPLEX 12.6.1.0: optimal integer solution;	4 0
objective 11	5 1
15 MIP simplex iterations	6 0
0 branch-and-bound nodes	70
	8 0
	90
	10 1
	11 0;



An example of Minimum Cost Flow Problem

Bavarian Motor Company (BMC) manufactures luxury cars in Germany and exports them in the U.S.; they are currently holding 200 cars available at the port in Newark and 300 cars available at the port in Jacksonville. From there, the cars are transported (by rail or truck) to five distributors having a specific requirement of cars (see Figure). In the network, Newark and Jacksonville are supply nodes (or origins): negative numbers (e.g. -200) represent their supply; Boston, Columbus, Atlanta, Richmond and Mobile are demand nodes (or destinations): positive numbers (e.g. +100) represent their demand.

The problem is to determine how to transport (flowing) cars along the arcs of the network to satisfy the demands at a minimum cost.

An example of Minimum Cost Flow Problem

min $30x_{12} + 40x_{14} + 50x_{23} + 35x_{35} + 40x_{53} + 30x_{54} +$ $+35x_{56}+25x_{65}+50x_{74}+45x_{75}+50x_{76}$ $-x_{12} - x_{14} > -200$ $x_{12} - x_{23} = 100$ $x_{23} + x_{53} - x_{35} = 60$ $x_{14} + x_{54} + x_{74} = 80$ $x_{35} + x_{65} + x_{75} - x_{53} - x_{54} - x_{56} = 170$ $x_{56} + x_{76} - x_{65} = 70$ $-x_{74} - x_{75} - x_{76} > -300$ $x_{12}, x_{14}, \ldots > 0$

Considering the same problem as before, take into account the following additional constraints:

- Each link has a capacity, that cannot be exceeded (see the figure);
- Two additional links are available (Jacksonville-Boston and Newark-Atlanta), but BMC has to but BMC has to pay a (fixed) activation cost to use them (see the figure);
- By considering the demand of Boston fixed to 100 suppose that the number of cars allowed to pass through the city is limited to 30;
- Columbus is allowed to increase its original demand (i. e. 60), but it has to pay 500 to BMC for any additional car that it will receive (with respect to the original demand).



Link	Capacity
Jacksonville, Richmond	90
Jacksonville, Atlanta	180
Jacksonville, Mobile	50
Newark, Boston	50
Newark, Richmond	90
Atlanta Columbus	50
All other links	100

Link	Activation Cost
Jacksonville, Boston	300
Newark, Atlanta	800

• Each link has a capacity, that cannot be exceeded

 $x_{12} \le 50$ $x_{14} \le 90$ $x_{15} \le 100$

[...]

• Each link has a capacity, that cannot be exceeded

 $x_{12} \le 50$ $x_{14} \le 90$ $x_{15} \le 100$

[...]

model []
<pre>param Cap {Link};</pre>
[]
<pre>subject to Capacity {(i,j) in Link}: Ship[i,j] <= Cap[i,j];</pre>

• Two additional links are available (Jacksonville-Boston and Newark-Atlanta), but BMC has to pay a (fixed) activation cost to use them

Variables:

$$y_{15} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if link from 1 to 5 is activated} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad y_{72} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if link from 7 to 2 is activated} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\min \dots + 25x_{72} + 25x_{15} + 300y_{72} + 800y_{15}$$
$$x_{15} \le 100y_{15}$$
$$x_{72} \le 100y_{72}$$

• Two additional links are available (Jacksonville-Boston and Newark-Atlanta), but BMC has to pay a (fixed) activation cost to use them

```
# model
[...]
set AdditionalLink within (Link);
param CostAct {AdditionalLink};
var additionalservice {AdditionalLink} binary;
[...]
minimize Total_Cost: sum {(i,j) in Link} Cost[i,j] * Ship[i,j] + sum {(i,j) in AdditionalLink} CostAct[i,j] *additionalservice[i,j]
subject to LinkingActivation {(i,j) in AdditionalLink}: Ship[i,j] <= Cap[i,j]*additionalservice[i,j];</pre>
```

• By considering the demand of Boston fixed to 100 suppose that the number of cars allowed to pass through the city is limited to 30

 $x_{12} + x_{72} \le 130$

• By considering the demand of Boston fixed to 100 suppose that the number of cars allowed to pass through the city is limited to 30

 $x_{12} + x_{72} \le 130$

# model	
[]	
set Transfer within (Cities);	
[]	
<pre>subject to TransferConstr {i in Transfer}: sum {(j,i) in Link} Ship[j,i] <= DemSup[i] + 30;</pre>	

• Columbus is allowed to increase its original demand (i. e. 60), but it has to pay 500 to BMC for any additional car that it will receive (with respect to the original demand)

$$min \dots - 500z$$
$$x_{23} + x_{53} - x_{35} = 60 + z$$
$$z \ge 0$$

• Columbus is allowed to increase its original demand (i. e. 60), but it has to pay 500 to BMC for any additional car that it will receive (with respect to the original demand)

```
# model
[...]
set AddDemand within (Cities);
var additionaldemand {AddDemand} >= 0;
[...]
subject to AdditionalDemand {i in AddDemand}:
sum {(j,i) in Link} Ship[j,i] - sum {(i,k) in Link} Ship[i,k] == DemSup[i] + additionaldemand[i];
```

model (I/II)

set Cities;

set Origins within (Cities);

set Destinations within (Cities);

set Transfer within (Cities);

set AddDemand within (Cities);

set Link within (Cities cross Cities);

set AdditionalLink within (Link);

param Cost {Link};

param CostAct {AdditionalLink};

param Cap {Link};

param DemSup {Cities};

var Ship {Link} >= 0; var additionalservice {AdditionalLink} binary; var additionaldemand {AddDemand} >= 0;

model (II/II) minimize Total Cost: sum {(i,j) in Link} Cost[i,j] * Ship[i,j] + sum {(i,j) in AdditionalLink} CostAct[i,j] * additionalservice[i,j] - sum {i in AddDemand} 500*(additionaldemand[i]); subject to Supply {i in Origins}: - sum {(i,k) in Link} Ship[i,k] >= DemSup[i]; subject to Demand {i in Destinations}: sum {(j,i) in Link} Ship[j,i] - sum {(i,k) in Link} Ship[i,k] == DemSup[i]; subject to AdditionalDemand {i in AddDemand}: sum {(j,i) in Link} Ship[j,i] - sum {(i,k) in Link} Ship[i,k] == DemSup[i] + additionaldemand[i]; subject to Capacity {(i,j) in Link}: Ship[i,j] <= Cap[i,j];</pre> subject to TransferConstr {i in Transfer}: sum {(j,i) in Link} Ship[j,i] <= DemSup[i] + 30;</pre> **subject to** LinkingActivation {(i,j) in AdditionalLink}: Ship[i,j] <= Cap[i,j]*additionalservice[i,j];

# data (I/III)		
data;		
<pre>set Cities := Newark Jacksonville Boston Columbus Atlanta Richmond Mobile; set Origins := Newark Jacksonville; set Destinations := Boston Atlanta Richmond Mobile; set Transfer := Boston; set AddDemand := Columbus;</pre>		
param:	DemSup:=	
Newark	-200	
Jacksonville	-300	
Boston	100	
Columbus	60	
Atlanta	170	
Richmond	80	
Mobile	70;	

data (II/III)
set Link := (Jacksonville, Richmond)
(Jacksonville, Atlanta)
(Jacksonville, Mobile)
(Jacksonville, Boston)
(Newark, Richmond)
(Newark, Boston)
(Newark, Atlanta)
(Mobile, Atlanta)
(Atlanta, Mobile)
(Atlanta, Columbus)
(Atlanta, Richmond)
(Columbus, Atlanta)
(Boston, Columbus);
set AdditionalLink := (Jacksonville, Boston)
(Newark, Atlanta);

# model (III/III) param CostAct :=		
Jacksonville, Boston 300		
Newark, Atlanta 800;		
		-
param:	Cost,	Cap:=
Jacksonville, Richmond	50	90
Jacksonville, Atlanta	45	180
Jacksonville, Mobile	50	50
Jacksonville, Boston	50	100
Newark, Richmond	40	90
Newark, Boston	30	50
Newark, Atlanta	40	100
Mobile, Atlanta	25	100
Atlanta, Mobile	35	100
Atlanta, Columbus	40	50
Atlanta, Richmond	30	100
Columbus, Atlanta	35	100
Boston, Columbus	50	100;

run

reset; model SND.mod; data SND.dat; option solver cplexamp; solve; display Ship; display additionaldemand; display additionalservice;

solution (I/II)

ampl: include SND.run; CPLEX 12.6.1.0: optimal integer solution; objective 16950 8 MIP simplex iterations 0 branch-and-bound nodes additionalservice := Jacksonville Boston 1 Newark Atlanta 1;

solution (II/II)

Ship :=

Atlanta Columbus 50 Atlanta Mobile 20 Atlanta Richmond 0 Columbus Boston 30 Columbus Atlanta 0 Jacksonville Atlanta 170 Jacksonville Boston 80 Jacksonville Mobile 50 Jacksonville Richmond 0 Mobile Atlanta 0 Newark Atlanta 70 Newark Boston 50 Newark Richmond 80:

additionaldemand [*] := Columbus 20;

An example of Multicommodity flows

Bavarian Motor Company (BMC) manufactures also City cars, and exports them in the U.S., in addition to the Luxury cars - as previously specified. They are 100 City cars available at the port in Newark and 50 City cars available at the port in Jacksonville. From there both Luxury cars and City cars are transported (by rail or truck) to the five distributors having a specific requirement of each type of car (in the next table the additional request of City cars is specified, whereas requirements of Luxury cars are the same as previously defined).

An example of Multicommodity flows

The problem is to determine how to transport both types of cars along the arcs of the network to satisfy the demands at a minimum cost, considering that for marketing reasons:

- City cars cannot use the link between Jacksonville and Atlanta;
- The number of City cars traveling from Atlanta to Columbus has to be at least 30% higher than the number of cars traveling from Boston to Columbus.

City	City cars
Boston	25
Columbus	35
Atlanta	40
Richmond	50
Mobile	0
Jacksonville	-50
Newark	-100

AMPL Main Commands:

- reset;
- model *modelfilename*.mod;
- data *datafilename*.dat;
- option solver *nameofsolver*;
- solve;
- display nameofvariables;

reset the environment
model upload
data upload
optimizer selection
solve
display variables